



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,602	09/29/2005	Ijeoma Uchegbu	4938-P03603US00	3999
110 7590 09/10/2010 DANN, DORIMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307				
EXAMINER				
GREENE, IVAN A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1619				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/10/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/528,602	UCHEGBU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	IVAN GREENE	1619	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) IVAN GREENE.

(2) PATRICK HAGAN.

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Non-Responsive

(3) MORGAN HOWARD.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:30 PM

  

/Cherie M. Woodward/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the six month statutory reply period had expired the examiner called Patrick Hagan to inquire if a reply to the notice of non-compliant amendment, mailed 02/26/2010, had been filed. Mr. Hagan informed the examiner that this was no longer their case and the case had been transferred to the law firm of Saliwanchik Lloyd & Saliwanchik. The examiner searched PALM for said law firm and placed a call to inquire as to the status of the case. The examiner was informed that the case belonged to Jeff Lloyd (Reg. No. 35589) who was not in the office. The examiner then spoke with Morgan Howard, Mr. Lloyds assistant, who confirmed that this case was abandoned.